

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,082	PULLEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Carl J. Goddard.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2005
Time: AM (E.D.T.)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Authorization given for Examiner's Amendment, i.e., to include the same limitation in claim 1 for atorvastatin as in the remaining claims.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(~~Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate~~)